

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
08/466.554	06/06/1995	PETER A. SEUBERT	15270-002120	3168
20350	7590 01/07/2	003	_	
TOWNSEND AND TOWNSEND AND CREW, LLP TWO EMBARCADERO CENTER			EXAMINER	
			DUFFY, PATRICIA ANN	
EIGHTH FL				
SAN FRANC	CISCO, CA 94111-	3834	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1645	0 11
		·	DATE MAILED: 01/07/2003	54

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	08/466,554	Seubert etap
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Group Art Unit
	Duffy	1645
-The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet b	eneath the correspondence address-
Period for Reply		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET OF THIS COMMUNICATION.	TO EXPIRE Thu	MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE
 Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a lif NO period for reply is specified above, such period shall, by defa Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by st 	reply within the statutory minimult, expire SIX (6) MONTHS fron	num of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. In the mailing date of this communication.
Status		
☑ R sponsive to communication(s) filed on	१००६ भ	
☐ This action is FINAL.		
 Since this application is in condition for allowance exce accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1 		
Disposition of Claims		
Claim(s) 42-48 +50		is/are pending in the application.
Of the above claim(s)	is/are withdrawn from consideration.	
☐ Claim(s)	is/are allowed.	
☑ Claim(s) 42-48+58		is/are rejected.
☐ Claim(s)	is/are objected to.	
☐ Claim(s)————————————————————————————————————		
Application Papers		
☐ S e the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Draw	- '	
☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on is/are obj		⊔ disapproved.
☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are obj	octed to by the Examiner.	
☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d)		
 □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority □ All □ Some* □ None of the CERTIFIED copies □ received. 	- ' '	• •
☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority ☐ All ☐ Some* ☐ None of the CERTIFIED copies	of the priority documents ha	ave been
 □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority □ All □ Some* □ None of the CERTIFIED copies □ received. □ received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Num □ received in this national stage application from the little of the series 	of the priority documents han ber)nternational Bureau (PCT F	ave been Rule 1 7.2(a)).
 □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority □ All □ Some* □ None of the CERTIFIED copies □ received. □ received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Num 	of the priority documents han ber)nternational Bureau (PCT F	ave been Rule 1 7.2(a)).
 □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority □ All □ Some* □ None of the CERTIFIED copies □ received. □ received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Num □ received in this national stage application from the literation *Certified copies not received: Attachment(s)	of the priority documents han ber)nternational Bureau (PCT F	ave been Rule 1 7.2(a)).
 □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority □ All □ Some* □ None of the CERTIFIED copies □ received. □ received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Num □ received in this national stage application from the least complex copies *Certified copies not received:	of the priority documents han ber)nternational Bureau (PCT F	ave been Rule 1 7.2(a)).

Application/Control Number: 08/466,554 Page 2

Art Unit: 1645

Response to Amendment

1. The amendment and response filed 9-24-01 has been entered into the record. Claims 42-48 and 50 are pending and under examination.

2. The text of Title 35 of the U.S. Code not reiterated herein can be found in the previous office action.

Rejections Withdrawn

3. The rejection of claims 43-44, 46-48 and 50 only under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as containing subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention is withdrawn based on Applicants' amendments.

Double Patenting

4. The non-statutory double patenting rejection, whether of the obviousness-type or non-obviousness-type, is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent. *In re Thorington,* 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969); *In re Vogel,* 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); *In re Van Ornum,* 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Longi,* 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); and *In re Goodman,* 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(b) and © may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a non-statutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.78(d).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Application/Control Number: 08/466,554 Page 3

Art Unit: 1645

5. Claims 42-48 and 50 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over the all the allowed claims of now issued copending USSN 08/733,202 issued September 4, 2001 as US Patent No. 6,284,221. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because it is obvious to screen for soluble $A\beta(x-\ge41)$ in place of soluble $A\beta$ because $A\beta(x-\ge41)$ is a recognized species of soluble $A\beta$ as evidenced by the art of record (Vigo-Pelfry et al).

Applicants have indicated that a terminal disclaimer will be filed. In the absence of a proper terminal disclaimer, this rejection is maintained and in view of the issuance of the Patent, its provisional status has been removed.

6. Claims 46 stands rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as containing subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention is maintained for reasons made of record.

Applicants amendments are insufficient to obviate this rejection as it applies to the genus of specific non-human transgenic animals for use in the assay. Applicants rely on copending applications of US application 08/143,697 (now US Patent to enable this embodiment and the copending applications are only enabled for transgenic rodents (see claim 6 therein). As such, applicants arguments are not commensurate in scope with the evidence presented therein.

New Objection Based on Applicants Amendment to Claim 42

7. Claim 50 is objected to under 37 CFR 1.75(c), as being of improper dependent form for failing to further limit the subject matter of a previous claim. Applicant is required to cancel the claim.

Application/Control Number: 08/466,554 Page 4

Art Unit: 1645

Status of Claims

8. Claims 42-48 and 50 are rejected.

9. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

10. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this general application should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0196.

Papers relating to this application may be submitted to Technology Center 1600, Group 1640 by facsimile transmission. The faxing of such papers must conform with the notice published in the Official Gazette, 1096 OG 30 (November 15, 1989). Should applicant wish to FAX a response, the current FAX number for Group 1600 is (703) 308-4242.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Patricia A. Duffy, Ph.D. whose telephone number is (703) 305-7555. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 6:30 AM to 3:00 PM. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Lynette Smith can be reached at (703) 308-3909.

Patricia A. Duffy, Ph.D. January 2, 2003

Art Unit: 1645

Patricia A. Duffy, Ph.D. Primary Examiner Group 1600

,